Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Command: Office of Organizational	Division: ACLDC	Number: 014
Development	21	
Evaluated by:	× .	Date:
Gina Linson	165 - 81	June 25, 2009
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ector's Signati	ure:		
☐ Division Level	⊠ Command Level	1	6	*		
☐ Office of Inspections [☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	DU	wh	luon	\wedge	
Follow-up Required:	Follow-Up Inspection	Command	er's Signature	: WSh		Date: 10 25 09
For applicable policies, refer to	D HPM 11.1, Chapter 6.	100	24			
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is che	ecked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	tilized for ex	xplanation		August State of the state of the
Prior to the performance of contracting party informed services, departmental education policy?	of services, is the did not services, is the did not services.	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
Does the billing rate inclu- expenses such as uniforn		☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
3. When a safety service is agency, is the agency's five obtained?		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
Is the billing code docume Services Billing Memoran	ented on the Reimbursable dum?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
5. Is \$50 charged for each C	HP uniformed employee e cancellation notification is	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
6. Is a minimum payment of when employee(s) could r cancellation of their service	4 hours overtime charged not be notified of the	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
 Is information regarding the necessary right-of-way clean requirements, and other period available to inquiring particle. 	ne procedures to obtain earances or permits, local ertinent information made	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
Are written requests for sp the appropriate command	ecific services directed to	☐Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	Λ
Are traffic control services approved by Division?		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
Are traffic control services more approved by the Offi		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
11. Are extraordinary protective Assistant Commissioner, F	ve services approved by the	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Quest	ions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance de	posits.			
	. Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	. Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
16.	Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Questi	ons 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agre	ements.			
18.	Is a CHP 466 maintained?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
19.	Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
20.	Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
21.	Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
23.	Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
24.	Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
26.	Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	☐ Yes	⊪ □ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
27.	If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
28.	Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
29.	Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

30	O. Are CHP 312 forms, CHP 313 forms, and CHP 467 forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in effect?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
3	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Ques	tions 32 through 38 pertain to training agreement pro	cedures a	and report	ing for se	rvices provided.
	2. Is a CHP 230 prepared by the contracting party when fees are collected on the day of the training session?	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
33	3. Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP, MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
34	Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next level of review?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
35	i. Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
36	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
37	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	. Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Quest	ions 39 through 52 pertain to extraordinary protectival projects.	e services	and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
39	. Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	. Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
41	. Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
42	Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
)	Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
46.	Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	□Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

			,	
47. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to Division by the 15 th of the month?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
48. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
49. Is a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime report(s) when there are reimbursable nonuniformed personnel hours?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
50. Is an amendment of service agreement requested prior to the fund being depleted, and if necessary, is the service discontinued?	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
51. Are all payments made directly to FMS?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
52. Does the command require delinquent companies to pay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing any future services?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2

Command:
Office of Org
Dev. - 014

Division: ACLDC Chapter:

Reimb. Svcs Date: June 25, 2009

Inspected by: Gina Linson Date

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the n ent shall be utilized to document innovative p action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may				
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 0 Hours	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included			
Follow-up Required: Yes No Forward to: ACLDC Due Date: July 15, 2009						
Inspection Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: The Office of Organizational Development is a Headquarters command with no oversight for Reimbursable Services.						
Command Suggestions for St	atewid	e Improvement:				
Inspector's Findings:						

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

Commander's Response:

Concur or

Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Org	ACLDC	8 —
Dev 014	* * * * *	Reimb. Svcs
Inspected by: Gina Li	Date: June 25, 2009	

Required Action		and the state of t	
Corrective Action P	lan/Timeline		
Corrective Action F	ian/innemie	 	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE WSL	DATE 09
	ÍNSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	Nih Cicha	4/24/09.

Page

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

Command: Office of Org Development	Division: ACLDC	Number: 014
Evaluated by:		Date:
Gina Linson	5	June 25, 2009
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Inspector's Signature:				
☐ Div	vision Level	☑ Command Level	1				
Off	ice of Inspections	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	181	M	lms	M	i Ko
Fo	ollow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Follow-Up Inspection	Command	PACE OF SIGNATURE	lins	M	Date: 0 75 0 9
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 20.							
Note:	If a "No" or "N/A" box is che	cked, the "Remarks" section	shall be u	tilized for e	xplanation	1.	
) 1.	Does the command have ensure that a CHP 735, In Reimbursement Statement arrest that meets the cost	cident Response it, is prepared for each	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
2.	What are these procedure						
3.	Does the command have a assigned to process all CF		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
4.	If the answer to question 3 the responsibility of proces listed in their job descriptio	sing all CHP 735 forms	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

5.	Are all CHP 735 forms forwarded to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) properly with completed criteria in either Section A or Section B of the form?	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
6.	Does the command have a suspense system in place to facilitate notification of a conviction involving cases meeting the requirements of the Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Cost Recovery Program? This would involve cases where the following criteria applies: A Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) under .08% A chemical test is positive for drugs only There is no supporting BAC test of drug test (i.e., a refusal)	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
7.	 Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section A of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from one of the following dates? The date of BAC results of =.08% were received The date of BAC results of =.04% were received for a commercial driver 	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
8.	Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section B of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from being notified of a conviction of California Vehicle Sections 23152 or 23153, or greater offence as a result of one of the following? The person arrested refused to provide a chemical test The arrest was for drugs only A BAC of < .08% was obtained	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
9.	Is the Itemized Staff Hours section of the CHP 735 completed as required in Highway Patrol Manual 11.1, Administrative Procedures Manual, and includes hours for all employees assigned to the incident?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	If the person arrested is transient, is the case being entered into the CHP 735A, Case Log-DUI Cost Recovery Program, without forwarding the CHP 735 to FMS?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are staff hours involved in the incident recorded on the CHP 735 to the nearest ten minutes?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Do the total number of staff hours charged on the CHP 735 agree with the appropriate CHP 415, Daily Field Record?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

	13. Does the Notes portion of the CHP 415 indicate the billable DUI time when the CHP 415 includes more than one activity?	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	14. Are the staff hours incurred by members of the Department for the following activities associated with an incident meeting the criteria for DUI cost	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	recovery included in the CHP 735? Response Time				
	On-Scene InvestigationFollow-up Investigation				
	Report Writing				
	Vehicle StorageCall Back				
	Field Sobriety Testing				
	Transportation				
	BookingChemical Testing				
	Traffic Control		-		"
	 Are the staff hours for officers-in-charge, sergeants, lieutenants, or captains listed on the CHP 735 for 	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
)	time spent performing the activities listed in question			_	
	12 of this checklist and not exclusively supervisory tasks?				
	16. Is the current hourly rate for reimbursement, sent out			⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	to all commands via Comm-Net from FMS, being used?	☐ Yes	│	□ N/A	
	17. Is a copy of the CHP 735 being retained at the	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	command and filed?	☐ Tes		A N/A	
	18. Is the command utilizing the, optional, CHP 735A to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	19. In the absence of a CHP 735A, how is the command tr	acking the	DUI Cost F	Recovery	Program?
	20. Are commands using a case monitoring system to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program including the following information in the	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	monitoring system? Defendant Information				
)	Violation Information	- =			
	Court Information FMS Information				

INSPECTION PROGRAM

BAC test results					
	ng in a conviction within 12 on to the District Attorney verification of case status?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
a line drawn through t	on the monitoring system have the Conviction Date and Date to ason the case was closed and check?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
23. Are refunds or overpa erroneous charges, in processed by the Dep	an amount of = \$5.00 being	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
by FMS related to the and case status identif	wing the quarterly reports sent submission of CHP 735 forms lying any deficiencies in the ntability of the DUI Cost	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Question 25 pertains to Fisc	al Management Section.				
	CHP 735 forms for nation and returning deficient mmand for corrections?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2

Office of Org
Dev. — 014

Division:
ACLDC

8 – DUI Cost Recovery Date: June 25, 2009

Chapter:

Inspected by: Gina Linson

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.

improvement, identified deficiencies, co	rrective action	n plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	used if additional space is required.
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level		otal hours expended on the spection:	Corrective Action Plan Included
Executive Office Level	0 H	lours	Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forward to: ACLDC):	
☐ Yes	Due Date: July 15, 20	009	
Chapter Inspection:			The second state of the se
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Innov	vative Practices:	
The Office of Organizational D Cost Recovery Program.	evelopmer	nt is a Headquarters command	with no oversight for the DUI
Command Suggestions for St	atewide Im	mprovement:	
a a			
Inspector's Findings:			
Ε			
Commander's Response:	Concur or	☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concu	r shall document basis for response)

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Org	ACLDC	8 – DUI Cost
Dev 014		Recovery
Inspected by: Gina Li	nson	Date: June 25, 2009

		THE RESERVE		
Required Action	所包含是由自己的证明。			S POLICE OF
Corrective Action Plan	n/Timeline			

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE () 25/09 DATE
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE Mill Caba	6/26/09.

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Command: OCOR (015)	Division: ACLDC	Number:
Evaluated by: Sgt. Jeff James,	14714	Date: 05/27/2009
Assisted by:	E T	Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ector's Signatu	ire:		
☐ Division Level	☐ Command Level☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	1	18	0.50	gwets 5.	21-2009
Office of Inspections						Date:
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Follow-Up Inspection		er's Signature			5/28/09
For applicable policies, refer	to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6.					
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is cl	necked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	kplanation		MY STATE OF THE ST
1. Prior to the performance	of services, is the ed of the rates charged for	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: As Command, Coreimbursable	s a Headquarters DCOR does not provide e services, nor does tain a reimbursable tract.
Does the billing rate incl expenses such as uniform	ude mileage and other m or equipment damage?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
agency, is the agency's obtained?		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
Is the billing code docun Services Billing Memora		☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
assigned to the detail if t	CHP uniformed employee he cancellation notification is to the scheduled service?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
Is a minimum payment of when employee(s) could cancellation of their serv	f 4 hours overtime charged not be notified of the	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
7. Is information regarding necessary right-of-way of	the procedures to obtain learances or permits, local pertinent information made	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
	specific services directed to	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
Are traffic control service approved by Division?		☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for
Are traffic control service more approved by the O	es estimated to be \$50,000 or ffice of the Commissioner?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: S Question 1.	ee Remarks section for

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

11. Are extraordinary protective services approved by the Assistant Commissioner, Field?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
Questions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance de	posits.			
12. Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1
13. Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
14. Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
15. Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
16. Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
17. Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
Questions 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agr	eements.			
18. Is a CHP 466 maintained?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
19. Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
20. Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1,
21. Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
22. Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
23. Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
24. Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
25. Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
26. Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
27. If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

28	Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
29	. Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
30	. Are CHP 312 forms, CHP 313 forms, and CHP 467 forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in effect?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
Quest	ions 32 through 38 pertain to training agreement pro	cedures a	ind reporti	ng for se	rvices provided.
32.	Is a CHP 230 prepared by the contracting party when fees are collected on the day of the training session?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
33.	Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP,	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
V	MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?				Tagair 1
34.	Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next level of review?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
35.	Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1
36.	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
37.	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
	Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
KONCKOMPANIES ENGAGING	ons 39 through 52 pertain to extraordinary protective projects.	e services	and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
39.	Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
	Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
	Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8 COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

42. Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
43. Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
44. Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
45. Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
46. Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
47. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to Division by the 15 th of the month?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
48. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
49. Is a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime report(s) when there are reimbursable nonuniformed personnel hours?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
50. Is an amendment of service agreement requested prior to the fund being depleted, and if necessary, is the service discontinued?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
51. Are all payments made directly to FMS?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.
52. Does the command require delinquent companies to pay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing any future services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See Remarks section for Question 1.

Page

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8 COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Command: Office of the Academy	Division: ACLDC	Number:
Evaluated by: Rachel Gray	Date: 6/17/2009	
Assisted by: Sgt. Sven Miller		Date: 6/17/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF	INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	ıre:	
l		⊠ Command Level	1			
	ASION Level	Sommand Level	1	1	*>>	
☐ Office of Inspections ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection			1			
Fo	ollow-up Required:]Yes ⊠ No	Follow-Up Inspection BY:	Commande	er's Signature	·/	- 7/24/09
	oplicable policies, refer to					
Note:	If a "No" or "N/A" box is che	ecked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	planation	
1.	Prior to the performance of contracting party informed services, departmental educancellation policy?	of the rates charged for	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2.	Does the billing rate include expenses such as uniform	or equipment damage?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
3.			⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
4.			☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Not required
5.	Is \$50 charged for each C assigned to the detail if th	Is \$50 charged for each CHP uniformed employee assigned to the detail if the cancellation notification is less than 24 hours prior to the scheduled service?		□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
6.			☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
7.			☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
8.	Are written requests for sp the appropriate command	pecific services directed to	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
9.	Are traffic control services approved by Division?	less than \$50,000	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
10.	Are traffic control services	estimated to be \$50,000 or ice of the Commissioner?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
11.		ve services approved by the	□Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

Quest	ions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance de	oosits.			
	. Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Local Log Only
	. Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	. Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	. Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
16	Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Not required
17.	Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
Quest	ons 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agre	ements.	A property of		
	Is a CHP 466 maintained?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Local Log Only
19.	Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Local Log Only
20.	Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Local Log 75A is closed out each fiscal year
21.	Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	☐Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
23.	Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?	☐Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
24.	Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
25.	Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Not required
26.	Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
27.	If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
29.	Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	□Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

30	O. Are CHP 312 forms, CHP 313 forms, and CHP 467 forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in effect?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
Quest	tions 32 through 38 pertain to training agreement pro	ocedures	and report	ing for se	rvices provided.
	Is a CHP 230 prepared by the contracting party when fees are collected on the day of the training session?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
33	Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP, MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	. Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next level of review?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
35	Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
36.	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	□ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	ons 39 through 52 pertain to extraordinary protective projects.	e services	and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
	Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
42.	Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
43.	Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
	Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
46.	Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	□Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

	Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to Division by the 15 th of the month?	☐ Yes	☐ No	N/A	Remarks: Not required
D	Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
re	s a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime eport(s) when there are reimbursable nonuniformed ersonnel hours?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Not required
pı	s an amendment of service agreement requested rior to the fund being depleted, and if necessary, is ne service discontinued?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
51. A	re all payments made directly to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
pa	oes the command require delinquent companies to ay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing any ture services?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Recommended, but not required

Page

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8 COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

Command:	Division:	Number:
Office of the		
Academy	ACLDC	
Evaluated by:		Date:
Susan May		9/15/2009
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ector's Signat	ure;		(*):
☐ Division Level	○ Command Level	May				
☐ Office of Inspections	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection					
Follow-up Required: □ □ Yes □ No	Follow-Up Inspection	Commander's Signature Date: 9/22/09				
For applicable policies, refer				9.		
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is c	hecked the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	planation		
1. Does the command have ensure that a CHP 735,	e sufficient procedures to Incident Response ent, is prepared for each	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
What are these procedu						
All CHP 735's completed by personnel assigned to the Office of the Academy (Academy) are submitted directly to the CHP field office where the arrest occurred for processing and tracking. Reports containing a CHP 735 Which are completed by Academy personnel during their work shift are submitted to Area supervisor for review and approval. Reports which are not completed by the end of the work shift are, upon completion, reviewed by an Academy supervisor for accuracy and completeness prior to submission to the appropriate Area office.						
No CHP 735's are processed or	tracked at the Academy.					
Does the command have assigned to process all (☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	3
the responsibility of proc	3 of this checklist is yes, is essing all CHP 735 forms tion or any other document?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

	5.	Are all CHP 735 forms forwarded to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) properly with completed criteria in either Section A or Section B of the form?	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	6.	Does the command have a suspense system in place to facilitate notification of a conviction involving cases meeting the requirements of the Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Cost Recovery Program? This would involve cases where the following criteria applies: • A Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) under .08%	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
		 A chemical test is positive for drugs only There is no supporting BAC test of drug test (i.e., a refusal) 				
	7.	Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section A of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from one of the following dates?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
)		 The date of BAC results of =.08% were received The date of BAC results of =.04% were received for a commercial driver 				
	8.	Are CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria of Section B of the form being forwarded to FMS within ten business days from being notified of a conviction of California Vehicle Sections 23152 or 23153, or greater offence as a result of one of the following? The person arrested refused to provide a chemical test The arrest was for drugs only A BAC of < .08% was obtained	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	9.	Is the Itemized Staff Hours section of the CHP 735 completed as required in Highway Patrol Manual 11.1, Administrative Procedures Manual, and includes hours for all employees assigned to the incident?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
		If the person arrested is transient, is the case being entered into the CHP 735A, Case Log-DUI Cost Recovery Program, without forwarding the CHP 735 to FMS?	☐ Yes	∏ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
		Are staff hours involved in the incident recorded on the CHP 735 to the nearest ten minutes?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
)	12.	Do the total number of staff hours charged on the CHP 735 agree with the appropriate CHP 415, Daily Field Record?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

	13. Does the Notes portion of the CHP 415 indicate the billable DUI time when the CHP 415 includes more than one activity?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	 14. Are the staff hours incurred by members of the Department for the following activities associated with an incident meeting the criteria for DUI cost recovery included in the CHP 735? Response Time 	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	 On-Scene Investigation Follow-up Investigation Report Writing Vehicle Storage Call Back 				
	 Field Sobriety Testing Transportation Booking Chemical Testing 				
<u>)</u>	 Traffic Control 15. Are the staff hours for officers-in-charge, sergeants, lieutenants, or captains listed on the CHP 735 for time spent performing the activities listed in question 12 of this checklist and not exclusively supervisory tasks? 	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	16. Is the current hourly rate for reimbursement, sent out to all commands via Comm-Net from FMS, being used?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	17. Is a copy of the CHP 735 being retained at the command and filed?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	18. Is the command utilizing the, optional, CHP 735A to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	19. In the absence of a CHP 735A, how is the command tra	acking the	DUI Cost F	Recovery F	Program?
)	 20. Are commands using a case monitoring system to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program including the following information in the monitoring system? Defendant Information Violation Information Court Information FMS Information BAC test results 	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

21.	Are cases not resulting in a conviction within 12 months after submission to the District Attorney closed out after court verification of case status?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
22.	Do closed out cases on the monitoring system have a line drawn through the Conviction Date and Date to FMS as well as the reason the case was closed and date of last follow-up check?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
23.	Are refunds or overpayments, as a result of erroneous charges, in an amount of = \$5.00 being processed by the Department?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
24.	Is the command reviewing the quarterly reports sent by FMS related to the submission of CHP 735 forms and case status identifying any deficiencies in the submission and accountability of the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Questi	on 25 pertains to Fiscal Management Section.			itania in Antara	
25.	Is FMS reviewing the CHP 735 forms for completeness of information and returning deficient forms to the issuing command for corrections?	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT Page 1 of 2 Command: Office of the Academy Inspected by: Susan May

Command: Office of the	Division	Chapter:
Academy	ACLDC	8
Inspected by: Susan May		Date: 9/15/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. Corrective Action Plan Included Total hours expended on the TYPE OF INSPECTION inspection: ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level Attachments Included ☐ Executive Office Level 4 Forward to: ACLDC Follow-up Required: Due Date: 9/15/2009 ⋈ No Yes Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: N/A Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: N/A Inspector's Findings: The Office of the Academy is in compliance with all departmental policies/procedures evaluated in the Chapter 8 inspection. Commander's Response: ⊠ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division	Chapter:
Office of the Academy	ACLDC	8
Inspected by:		Date:

1. 207	
:	
Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

No corrective actions identified.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	9/22/09
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	8/29/08
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE